

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,756	06/20/2006	Lothar Mueller	GK-ZEI-3294/50343.20315 2601	
26418 REED SMITH	7590 05/17/200	EXAMINER		
REED SMITH, LLP ATTN: PATENT RECORDS DEPARTMENT			GREECE, JAMES R	
	ON AVENUE, 29TH I NY 10022-7650	FLOOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2873	
			[
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/552,756	MUELLER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James R. Greece	2873				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	•					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for the, cause the application to become AB ANDO	ON. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	November 2006.					
· <u>-</u> .	·					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) Claim(s) 8-14 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-14</u> is/are rejected.					
· <u> </u>	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 October 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	•	eived in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not rece	ived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/11/2005.	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/552,756

Art Unit: 2873

Detailed Action

Applicant cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Status of the Application

Claims 8-14 are pending in this application

If the applicant is aware of any prior art or any other co-pending application not already of record, he/she is reminded of his/her duty under 37 CFR 1.56 to disclose the same.

Drawings

There are no objections to applicant's drawings at this time.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 8-11, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Humphrey (USPAT 4,730,910).

In regard to claim 8, Humphrey teaches as claimed:

I. An optical system, such as for a fundus camera, which has a substantially coaxial illumination beam path and imaging beam path, (for details see Humphrey figure I where the illumination beam path and imagine beam path are displayed as coaxial)

Art Unit: 2873

II. A lens system of at least four lenses; (for details see Humphrey letters
A, B, C, and D)

III. At least two lenses being tilted with respect to their optical axes relative to the illumination beam path and imaging beam path; (for details see Humphrey letters A, B, C, and D)

IV Optical axes of said lenses and optical axis of the illumination beam path and imaging beam path lying in a plane; (disregarding the interpretation, all of these A-D optical axes are present in a vertical plane as viewable in figure 1)

V. At least two additional lenses being tilted with respect to their optical axes relative to the illumination beam path and imaging beam path; (for details see Humphrey letters A, B, C, and D)

VI. The optical axes of the two additional lenses and optical axis of the illumination beam path and imaging beam path lying in a second plane which intersects the first plane substantially along the optical axis of the illumination beam path and imaging beam path. (For details see figure 1, letters A-D)

In regard to claim 9, Humphrey teaches as claimed:

Wherein the first plane and the second plane extend substantially perpendicular to one another. (Examiner interprets this

Art Unit: 2873

claim in light of the interpretation applied to claim 8 so thus the plane including lens A is substantially perpendicular to that one including the illumination beam path)

In regard to claim 10, Humphrey teaches as claimed:

The optical axis of the illumination beam path and imaging beam path penetrates the lenses outside their optical axes. (For details see Humphrey figure 1 which includes a beam path outline which shows the claimed feature is included in Humphrey.)

In regard to claim 11, Humphrey teaches as claimed:

Wherein the optical axes of the lenses are arranged outside the beam bundle of the illumination beam path and imaging beam path.

(For details see Humphrey figure 1 which includes a beam path outline which shows the claimed feature is included in Humphrey.)

In regard to claim 13, Humphrey teaches as claimed:

Wherein at least one of the lenses has an aspheric surface. (for details see figure 3G lens A as one of the examples in Humphrey.)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/552,756

Art Unit: 2873

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 5

- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Humphrey (USPAT 4,730,910) as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Howell (USPAT 2,978,956).

In regard to claim 12 Humphrey fails to explicitly disclose as claimed:

The lenses comprise lens segments.

Application/Control Number: 10/552,756 Page 6

Art Unit: 2873

However Howell discloses lenses comprising lens segments (See Howell figure 1.)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art of lens device design at the time of the invention was made to utilize a lens or lenses comprising lens segments as taught by Howell in the device of Humphrey since Howell states in column 1, line 22-25 that such a modification would provide a lens system having broader spectral transmittance than is achievable through the employment of a standard lens single lens element.

7. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Humphrey (USPAT 4,730,910).

In regard to claim 14, Humphrey fails to explicitly disclose the following as claimed:

Wherein at least one lens is replaced by a diffractive optical element.

However the examiner takes official notice to the fact that the use of diffractive elements is well known in the art of fundus camera design and would have been an obvious design choice for one having ordinary skill in the art. A reference supporting this notice is USPAT 2,586,973.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 9. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/552,756

Art Unit: 2873

The applicant states in line 6 of claim 8 "said lenses", however it is not clear to which

two specific lenses the applicant refers since the applicant refers to four lenses in line 3.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to James R. Greece whose telephone number is 571-272-3711. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ricky Mack can be reached on 571-272-2333. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

James R Greece

Patent Examiner

571-272-3711

Page 7